The Public Company Accounting Oversight Board ("the Board") has disapproved the registration application of Beutel Accountancy Corporation ("Beutel"), 2333 Mountain Crest Circle, Thousand Oaks, CA 91301. Pursuant to section 105(d)(1)(C) of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 ("the Act") the Board hereby makes public the disapproval determination and the basis for that determination.

The grounds supporting the Board's disapproval determination are described in the Notice of Hearing attached as an appendix to this release, which the Board issued to Beutel on May 10, 2005, pursuant to PCAOB Rule 2106(b)(2)(ii). By its terms, the Notice of Hearing became the Board's written notice of disapproval, effective as of May 10, 2005, when Beutel failed to request a hearing by May 24, 2005. Because Beutel failed to seek Securities and Exchange Commission review of the Board's determination within the period specified by the Commission's rules, the stay described in section 105(e) of the Act does not operate to delay public notice of the disapproval.

ISSUED BY THE BOARD

J. Gordon Seymour
Acting Secretary

July 28, 2005
Background

1. The Public Company Accounting Oversight Board ("the Board") has received an application for registration with the Board from Beutel Accountancy Corporation ("Beutel").

2. Section 102(c)(1) of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 ("the Act") provides that the Board shall

   approve a completed application for registration not later than 45 days after the date of receipt of the application, in accordance with the rules of the Board, unless the Board, prior to such date, issues a written notice of disapproval to, or requests more information from, the prospective registrant.

Pursuant to the Act, the Board has adopted rules ("PCAOB Rules") related to the registration process, and the Securities and Exchange Commission ("the Commission") has approved those rules.

3. Under PCAOB Rule 2102, the date of receipt of Beutel's application was February 8, 2005.
4. The Board's rules provide that the Board will, with respect to an application,

determine whether approval of the application for registration is consistent with the Board's responsibilities under the Act to protect the interests of investors and to further the public interest in the preparation of informative, accurate, and independent audit reports for companies the securities of which are sold to, and held by and for, public investors.

PCAOB Rule 2106(a).

5. If, after reviewing the application, the Board is unable to make the determination described in paragraph 4 above within 45 days of receipt of the application, the Board may request additional information from the applicant or the Board may provide the applicant with a written notice of a hearing to determine whether to approve or disapprove the application. PCAOB Rule 2106(b)(2).

6. The Board requested additional information from Beutel on March 15, 2005. The Board received the requested additional information from Beutel on March 28, 2005.

7. When an applicant submits additional information in response to a Board request, the Board will treat the application as if it were a new application for purposes of PCAOB Rule 2106(b). PCAOB Rule 2106(c). Accordingly, not later than 45 days after receipt of the requested information the Board will approve the application, request more information from the applicant, or provide the applicant with a notice of hearing. PCAOB Rule 2106(b).

Notice of Hearing Procedure

8. Pursuant to PCAOB Rule 2106(b)(2)(ii), the Board has determined to provide Beutel an opportunity for a hearing under PCAOB Rule 5500 to determine whether to approve or disapprove Beutel's application for registration. Under the Act and those Board rules, Beutel has the following two options with respect to this notice –

   a. Beutel may elect to have a hearing before a Board hearing officer pursuant to the Board's rules; or
b. Beutel may decline to have a hearing and may instead elect to treat this notice as a written notice of disapproval of Beutel's application for purposes of Section 102(c) of the Act.

9. If Beutel elects a hearing—

a. Beutel will be deemed to have waived any right to have a Board determination on its application within the time period provided in Section 102(c)(1) of the Act;

b. the Board shall appoint a hearing officer to hear evidence concerning the proposed grounds for disapproval described in paragraph 15 below, to hear other relevant evidence offered by Beutel or the Board staff, and to prepare an initial decision. PCAOB Rules 5200(b), 5204(b); and

c. the Board's Secretary shall serve the parties with a Notice of Assignment of Hearing Officer, the date of which shall constitute the date of the institution and commencement of the proceeding.

10. To elect a hearing, Beutel must, on or before May 24, 2005, file with the Board's Secretary a written request for a hearing date and a notice of appearance. PCAOB Rules 5500, 5401(c). Beutel must include with the request—

a. a statement that Beutel has elected not to treat this notice as a written notice of disapproval for purposes of Section 102(c) of the Act; and

b. a statement describing with specificity why Beutel believes that the Board should not issue a written notice of disapproval.

11. If Beutel elects to forego a hearing and instead chooses to treat this notice as a notice of disapproval for purposes of Section 102(c) of the Act, Beutel should notify the Board's Secretary of its election by May 24, 2005.

12. If Beutel fails to take the steps described in paragraph 10 above and fails to take the step described in paragraph 11 above, Beutel will be deemed to have elected to treat this notice as a notice of disapproval for purposes of Section 102(c) of the Act.
13. If this notice is treated as a notice of disapproval, whether by Beutel's election or by Beutel's failure to make an election by May 24, 2005 –

a. the date of this notice shall constitute the date of the Board's disapproval, and the proposed grounds described in paragraph 15 below shall constitute the final grounds of the Board's disapproval;

b. as provided by Section 102(c)(2) of the Act, this notice of disapproval shall be treated as a disciplinary sanction for purposes of sections 105(d) and 107(c) of the Act;

c. as provided by Section 105(d) of the Act, the Board shall report this sanction to –

(1) the Commission;

(2) any appropriate state regulatory authority or any foreign accountancy licensing board with which Beutel is licensed or certified; and

(3) the public (once any stay on the imposition of such sanction has been lifted); and

d. as provided by Section 107(c) of the Act, Beutel may, in accordance with the Commission's rules governing time limitations and procedural matters, petition the Commission to review the disapproval determination.

Basis of Disapproval

14. The Board has considered the following information, which includes information obtained by the Board in connection with Beutel's application as well as the requirements of the Act and the Board's rules –

a. Section 102(a) of the Act provides that, effective October 22, 2003, it shall be unlawful for any person that is not a registered public accounting firm to prepare or issue an audit report with respect to any issuer.
b. PCAOB Rule 2100 provides that, effective October 22, 2003, any public accounting firm that prepares or issues any audit report with respect to any issuer must be registered with the Board.

c. Beutel prepared or issued an audit report dated March 29, 2004 with respect to Vital Health Technologies, Inc. ("Vital") which is an issuer.

d. Beutel prepared or issued an audit report dated April 14, 2005 with respect to Vital.

e. On the date that Beutel prepared or issued audit reports identified in paragraphs c and d, Beutel was not a registered public accounting firm.

15. As provided in PCAOB Rules 2106(b)(2)(ii) and 5201(c), and on the basis of the information described in paragraph 14, the Board identifies the following proposed grounds for disapproving Beutel's registration application –

a. Beutel violated Section 102(a) of the Act by preparing or issuing audit reports with respect to an issuer on or after October 22, 2003, without being a registered public accounting firm.

b. Beutel violated PCAOB Rule 2100 by preparing or issuing audit reports with respect to an issuer on or after October 22, 2003, without being a registered public accounting firm.

c. In violating Section 102(a) of the Act and PCAOB Rule 2100, as described in paragraphs a and b above, Beutel has demonstrated an unwillingness or inability to comply with fundamental requirements of the law and the Board's rules concerning oversight of the audits of public companies.
16. On the basis of the grounds described in paragraph 15, the Board is unable to determine that approval of the application would be consistent with the Board's responsibilities under the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 to protect the interests of investors and to further the public interest in the preparation of informative, accurate, and independent audit reports for companies the securities of which are sold to, and held by and for, public investors.

ISSUED BY THE BOARD

J. Gordon Seymour
Acting Secretary
May 10, 2005